



**Pacific Gas and  
Electric Company**

Mark Stewart  
Electric Vegetation Management  
4636 Missouri Flat Rd.  
Placerville, CA 95667

April 25, 2016

Ms. Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, NE  
Washington, DC 20426

**Subject:** Woodleaf-Kanaka Junction Transmission Line Project, FERC Project No. 2281-011  
Sly Creek Transmission Line Project, FERC Project No. 4851-005  
2016 Annual Consultation Meeting Summary

Dear Secretary Bose:

On June 10, 2010, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or the Commission) issued “*Order Issuing Subsequent License (Transmission Line)*” (131 FERC ¶ 62,222) for the Woodleaf-Kanaka Junction Transmission Line Project (FERC Project No. 2281-011), and “*Order Issuing Subsequent License (Transmission Line)*” (131 FERC ¶ 62,223) for the Sly Creek Transmission Line Project (FERC Project No. 4851-005). Pursuant to paragraph 19 of each Order, the License is subject to the Forest Service Final Section 4(e) Conditions set forth in Appendix A of the Orders. Standard Forest Service Condition No. 3 requires that Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), beginning the first full year after License issuance, participate in annual meetings with the Forest Service to present Project operation and maintenance (O&M) activities planned for the next calendar year. In addition, PG&E shall present results from current year monitoring of noxious weeds and special-status species, as well as any additional information that has been compiled for the Project area. PG&E must file with the Commission evidence of the annual consultation meeting within 60 days following such consultation.

On February 25, 2016, PG&E and the staff of the Plumas National Forest (PNF) conducted the sixth Annual Consultation Meeting in compliance with Standard Forest Service Condition No. 3. The purpose of the meeting was to update the PNF staff regarding PG&E’s O&M activities conducted in 2015 and planned for 2016, and the overall status of License compliance. The meeting was held at the Feather River Ranger District in Oroville, California. This letter, reviewed and approved by the PNF staff on April 21, 2016, serves as evidence of consultation and is filed with the Commission.

The list of attendees for the PNF and PG&E is attached to this letter.

## **ANNUAL CONSULTATION MEETING SUMMARY**

PG&E reported on the following Project O&M and License compliance activities completed during 2015, as well as those planned for 2016.

### Project-related Operations and Maintenance

PG&E will hold the Forest-wide annual meeting with the PNF on March 30, 2016 to provide a high-level overview of PG&E's O&M on all transmission line projects on the PNF, including the subject FERC licensed projects. PG&E's leads for transmission O&M will be present at the Forest-wide meeting. The joint meeting is not part of the FERC requirement for annual consultation regarding P-2281 and P-4851, but rather is supplemental consultation. This Meeting Summary is specific to the Annual Consultation Meeting regarding P-2281 and P-4851 held on February 25, 2016.

PG&E does not have any planned road work on PNF lands along the projects in 2016. The PNF wants to discuss two gates on NFS lands which may have been put up by PG&E. The gates in question provide access to poles 3/32, 3/33, 3/35, and 3/36. If PG&E did not put in these gates in or add Forest Service locks on the gates, the Forest Service would like to remove the gates. This topic is discussed in greater detail below under Condition No. 16 - Access.

PG&E does not have any large vegetation management projects planned for 2016, only routine O&M. There will be two vegetation management inspections on each of the lines in 2016, both by foot. The first patrol will occur during the spring to identify hazard trees and dead trees for removal, and the second patrol will occur in late summer to identify drought-impacted tree mortalities, with tree removals to be scheduled in October/November.

Approximately 15 poles are proposed for replacement, per North American Energy Reliability Council (NERC) guidelines; nine of the poles to be replaced are currently believed to be FERC project poles on the Woodleaf-Kanaka line. PG&E will provide the PNF with more details once it becomes available.

If PG&E schedules any new O&M work during the remainder of 2016, PG&E will coordinate with the PNF prior to conducting the work.

In 2015, per consultation with the PNF, PG&E modified the Invasive Weed and Fuels Treatment Management Plans to include herbicide application information and incorporated a round of PNF comments. Per discussion with FERC, PG&E consulted with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife and the United States Fish and Wildlife Service on the revised management plans and received no comments from these agencies on the proposed addition of herbicides. The revised management plans were distributed to appropriate parties for Tribal consultation on February 29, 2016. After the completion of Tribal consultation, the plans will be sent to PNF for a final review. Any comments received will be incorporated, or discussed and resolved with the PNF, prior to the

submittal of the plans to FERC for approval. If PG&E receives timely approval for herbicide application, invasive species management with herbicides may commence in 2016.

Status Update re: FERC License Measures

PG&E reviewed all license conditions for P-2281 and P-4851, with particular focus on the Forest Service 4(e) Conditions, per a 2013 request from Amy Lind, FERC License Coordinator for the PNF. These conditions and the results of PG&E's review are listed below.

Condition No. 1 – Modification of 4(e): No modifications to date.

Condition No. 2 – Approval of Changes: No changes to date.

Condition No. 3 – Consultation: The Annual Consultation Meeting has been held every year since 2011 and was held this year on February 25, 2016. There will be changes made to the Fuels Treatment Plan, Invasive Weed Management Plan and the Roads Management Plan in 2016. A draft version of the Annual Consultation Meeting Summary will be submitted to the PNF for review by March 25, 2016, and is due to FERC by April 25, 2016.

Condition No. 4 – Surrender of License or Transfer of Ownership: PG&E has no current plans to surrender license or transfer ownership.

Condition No. 5 – Maintenance of Improvements: PG&E is in compliance with this condition.

Condition No. 6 – Pesticide Use Restrictions: Pesticide use is not currently allowed on PNF lands and has not been used on the Project on PNF lands during the current license. However, per the discussion above, PG&E is consulting with the PNF to receive written approval for the use of herbicides on the projects for facility (vegetation) management, fuels treatment and invasive weed management. Following FERC approval of the updated management plans, PG&E will work with the PNF to plan for herbicide application.

Condition No. 7 – Erosion Control Plan: There has been no new construction or non-routine maintenance projects that require an Erosion Control Plan.

Condition No. 8 – Existing Claims: PG&E is in compliance with this condition.

Condition No. 9 – Compliance with Regulations: PG&E complies with regulations for the projects.

Condition No. 10 – Protection of US Property: PG&E protects US property in accordance with the license condition, on its projects.

Condition No. 11 – Indemnification: This condition is ongoing throughout the life of the projects.

Condition No. 12 – Surveys, Land Corners: No survey monuments have been disturbed on the projects.

Condition No. 13 – Damage to Land, Property and Interests of the US: There has been no damage to the projects under the current licenses.

Condition No. 14 – Risks and Hazards: There have been no known risks or hazards to date.

Condition No. 15 – Crossings: Roads crossing the projects right-of-ways have been maintained.

Condition No. 16 – Access: PG&E and the PNF continue to coordinate on this condition, including gate locations. PG&E installed new gates in 2012. PNF staff mentioned two gates that have no Forest Service locks will be removed if PG&E did not install the gates. It was confirmed later in the meeting that the gates were installed by PG&E for access across private land to poles 3/32 and 3/36 on private lands and to poles 3/33, 3/34 and 3/35 on NFS lands. During a break-out discussion at the end of the consultation meeting, it was confirmed the gates were installed by PG&E and that PG&E wants to maintain gate control at these locations. PG&E agreed to ensure PG&E locks were on the gates, and provide a key to the Forest Service for access to areas beyond the gates. Gate located on Forest Service Property T20N, R6E, Sec 35 should have double locks, including one for Forest Service and one for PG&E. This provides access for Forest Service personnel to administrate public lands.

Condition No. 17 – Signs: PG&E has erected or posted no signs.

Condition No. 18 – Annual Employee Awareness Trainings: At the time of the meeting, PG&E had scheduled the annual environmental training for its O&M crews for the projects on March 16, 2016. The training session will be held at PG&E's maintenance yard in Chico, CA and be presented by HDR's environmental and cultural specialists.

Condition No. 19 – Avian Collision and Electrocution Hazards: The Avian Collision and Electrocution Prevention Plan was developed in December of 2010. No collisions have been reported on the projects.

Condition No. 20 – New Special-Status Species Surveys: PG&E reviewed the threatened, endangered and special-status species 2016 lists from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, California Department of Fish and Wildlife and California Native Plant Society, as well as the most up-to-date lists from the PNF. At the meeting, the PNF biologist and botanist discussed additions to the wildlife and botanical lists. No changes were made to the lists; therefore, no new surveys or management plans are required at this time.

Condition No. 21 – Protect Special-Status Plants and Habitat: see detailed update below.

Condition No. 22 – Invasive Weed Management Plan: see detailed update below.

Regarding Conditions No. 21 and 22, PG&E provided CDs with the latest 2015 GIS files and reports for botanical resources activities, as well as hard copies, to PNF staff.

There are multiple components to botanical work on the projects for 2016, including support of fuel treatment Phase II and Phase III, invasive weed management and monitoring, and special-status plant monitoring.

Prior to the start of upcoming Phase II fuel treatment activities in 2016, the area within the 200-foot hazard tree zone (HTZ) on both sides of the transmission line will be surveyed for special-status plants and invasive weeds. All special-status plant occurrences will be flagged for protection and invasive weed occurrences will be marked to protect against the further spread of weeds. Fuel treatment crews will be shown the locations of all marked special-status plant and invasive weed occurrences, as well as taught how to identify special-status plants and invasive weeds. A botanical monitor will also be present when crews are working near special-status plant occurrences. Fuels treatment equipment will be washed prior to being brought on to Forest Service land and then washed again after any work in weedy areas, before moving to new areas. During 2016, PG&E will also conduct the second of three years of post-ground disturbance surveys for invasive weeds in the Phase I fuels treatment area (which is an area of ground disturbance, per the Invasive Weed Plan) and the first of three years of post-ground disturbance surveys in the Phase III fuels treatment area.

In 2016, per the Special-Status Species Management Plan, PG&E will develop proposed management and monitoring for the yellow starthistle (*Centaurea solstitialis*) collocated with Mosquin's clarkia (*Clarkia mosquinii*). PG&E will consult with the PNF on proposed actions.

In 2016, management of Scotch and French broom (*Cytisus scoparius* and *Genista monspessulana*) and skeletonweed (*Chondrilla juncea*) will continue, in all likelihood using mostly tarping/solarizing, which entails covering of an invasive weed occurrence with a heavy plastic tarp for the majority of the year. This technique kills the plants, as well as part of the seed bank. At one occurrence, French broom will be scarified, as the slopes are too steep and the occurrence too large for successful tarping.

Multiple activities were also performed in 2015 under the botanical resource plans, as follows:

On June 24, 2015, a total of 804 Mosquin's clarkia plants were located during Special-status Plant Monitoring, with 90% in a reproductive phase. Cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*) was 100% reproductive with 5-20% cover; yellow starthistle was 95% reproductive, with cover ranging from 0-90%. Klamathweed (*Hypericum perforatum*), previously found in 2012, 2013 and 2014, was not relocated within or adjacent to the Mosquin's clarkia occurrence in 2015. Skeletonweed, previously found in 2013, was not relocated adjacent to the Mosquin's clarkia occurrence during 2014 or 2015 monitoring. The Mosquin's clarkia has expanded from 0.12-ac to 0.94-ac, an increase of over 600 percent. This expansion is likely due to the Phase 1 fuels treatment and hazard tree removal that occurred in 2014, which opened up new suitable habitat. Four new occurrences of Mosquin's clarkia

were located in the Phase I fuels treatment area. One was collocated with invasive weeds and will be monitored annually for three years, starting in 2016.

Additionally, in 2015, Restricted Work Areas (RWA) were marked for the Phase III fuels treatment on the Woodleaf-Kanaka Project. Special-status plant occurrences were protected by perimeter flagging, site tagging and designating the sensitive areas both on the ground and on fuel treatment maps as RWA's. An occurrence of the special-status plant, Humboldt lily (*Lilium humboldtii* ssp. *humboldtii*) was found in 2015 during surveys of the Phase III fuels treatment area. Botanical experts and members of the fuels treatment crews worked together to flag/fence these RWAs, which covered an area of approximately 0.21 acre. In November 2015, monitoring for sensitive plants also took place during fuels reduction along the Woodleaf-Kanaka line. Monitoring was also done during beetle kill hazard tree removal. A botanical monitor provided an environmental training that included information on special-status plants, protection measures on the projects, and RWAs within the Phase III fuels treatment area prior to mobilization. There were no impacts to special-status plants during fuels treatment.

In 2015, two new occurrences of French broom were found on the Woodleaf-Kanaka Project during beetle kill tree removal monitoring. Five occurrences of French broom were relocated from 2014. Of those five relocated occurrences, in an area of approximately 0.55 acre – approximately 24,200 plants - were managed, along with five occurrences of Scotch broom in an area of approximately 0.06 acre, containing 276 plants. Additionally, during 2015, 15 relocated occurrences and 3 new occurrences of skeletonweed were treated on the Woodleaf-Kanaka Project on PNF lands in Fields Meadow. Skeletonweed – approximately 4,904 plants - were managed at the 18 occurrences on a total of approximately 0.19 acre. Management of broom and skeletonweed was conducted primarily using tarping/solarizing, which is the covering of an invasive weed occurrence with a heavy tarp, from April to October.

Condition No. 23 – Limited Operating Periods (LOP): In areas with known special-status raptors or their habitat, PG&E has followed LOPs. The PNF is not aware of any new areas for LOPs.

Condition No. 24 – Meeting Prior to Any Construction or Maintenance Activities: PG&E and the PNF will meet to discuss upcoming activities on PG&E's transmission line projects on PNF lands, if the need arises. The March 30 meeting for the entire PNF will cover all known, planned PG&E O&M projects.

Condition No. 25 – Fire Prevention, Response and Investigation: The Fire Prevention and Response Plan was developed and approved in May 2011. Bob Burnham (ERT) has updated the contact page with new people, in consultation with the PNF.

Condition No. 26 – Fuel Treatment Plan: The Fuel Treatment Plan was developed and approved in May 2011. The 5-year update of the Fuel Treatment Plan will be started in 2016, with an updated draft plan due in June 2016. Current status of implementation of the Fuel Treatment Plan is described below.

The Sly Creek Transmission Line Project did not have anything that needed to be addressed regarding fuels treatment.

The Woodleaf-Kanaka Junction Transmission Line Project had Phase I (84 acres) completed in 2014 and a portion of Phase III was completed in 2015 (8.6 acres). A portion of Phase II and the remainder of Phase III will be completed in 2016.

Phase I fuels treatment was partially completed during 2014, with the remainder completed during 2015, which included 883 hazard trees killed by beetles that were removed from 1.5 miles of the Phase I fuels treatment area. A portion of the Phase III fuels treatment work was done in 2015 via mastication, with the remaining approximately 2-3 acres in Phase III to be completed in 2016, preferably before the start of fire season. Two archaeological sites (WL-TM-1 and WL-TM-2) were discovered in the Phase III Right of Way and HTZ (same boundaries as the Project Area of Potential Effects) during cultural resources monitoring of the 2015 mastication. Neither site has been evaluated for potential listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) and will therefore be managed during fuels treatment as if eligible, with vegetation crews using only hand tools and minimizing ground disturbances within the buffer area of these sites. A cultural resources monitor will be present during fuels treatment in and near these sites to direct any tree falling and other vegetation treatment activities away from sensitive resources to avoid any damage to the sites. Additional mastication in the Phase II areas is also being scheduled in 2016, excluding the area near and in NRHP-eligible archaeological site CA-BUT-3176, which will be hand treated the same as the sites in Phase III with any work near or inside of this site occurring with a cultural resources monitor present. Two other archaeological sites (CA-BUT1451/H and CA-BUT-3255) are also located in the Phase II fuels treatment areas. Both sites were evaluated in 2015 as not eligible for listing on the NRHP, however, those evaluations are still pending concurrence by the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO). If fuels treatment occurs at these two sites prior to SHPO approval, then the treatment will be conducted using hand techniques with a cultural resources monitor present. If PG&E receives SHPO concurrence on these two sites prior to the fuels treatment, then no protection measures are necessary and mastication may be used at the two site locations. The proposed approaches to conducting fuels treatment at the archaeological sites in the Phase II and Phase III sections of the line are currently before the cultural resources staff at PNF for approval.

ERT offered to assist in dealing with burn piles in 2016, providing personnel with red cards to do the burning. Jason Vermillion (PNF) discussed chipping and removing the fallen timber due to difficulty of burning, in addition to removal of trees. Mark Stewart (PG&E) discussed a lop-and-scatter approach, cutting below 18 inches and leaving to rot. In follow-up to the consultation meeting, the PNF reported on March 8, 2016 that they had taken advantage of recent rainfall in early March 2016 to complete the burning of all landings and debris piles from Phase I fuels treatment.

The following Phases are the current planned work:

- Phase I: 84 acres completed in 2014.
- Phase II: 30 acres scheduled for 2016.

- Phase III: 8.6 acres completed in 2015, including Broken Springs. The remaining 2-3 acres is scheduled for 2016.

During Phase I in 2014, 1.3 million board feet were removed, along with several tons of high hazard fuels. There were no adverse impacts to sensitive resources during Phase I due to effective coordination with PNF staff, RWA flagging and monitoring, and daily briefings to reinforce protective measures.

During Phase III in 2015, 8.6 acres were treated. Hazard trees were removed if they had the potential to hit the transmission line. Clearance surveys were completed for both Botanical and Cultural in the Phase III treatment area. Blackberry densities required real-time monitoring during fuels treatment activities. Phase III fuels treatment lasted about 3-4 weeks and took place in fall 2015. The HTZ will be completed in 2016, per current plans.

In 2015, the Phase I treatment area was revisited to remove 883 trees killed by beetles, as described above. These trees were mostly on the west side of the Phase I fuels treatment zone. All RWA set-up and sensitive resource monitoring occurred as needed. There were 3 log decks set-up following the removal of dead trees.

Phase II fuels treatment was postponed to 2016 to allow evaluation of cultural sites in the area during 2015, as described below. The current plan is to treat fuels in Phase II once the cultural site evaluation is complete. Some sites may be eligible for the NRHP and require RWAs to be set-up prior to fuels treatment, and well as cultural monitors. Phase II treatment will continue similar coordination to ensure that sensitive resources are protected during fuels treatment work. Herbicides and Integrated Vegetation Management approach are needed to maintain the fuels levels achieved by the treatment.

Condition No. 27 – Road Management Plan: PG&E provided the PNF with two hardcopies of the 2015 Roads Condition Assessment Report. PG&E will perform the annual roads condition assessment in 2016, tentatively scheduled for September. Additionally, updates to the existing Roads Management Plan have been made to include new names for the Project access roads leading to transmission poles to correspond to the revised pole numbers on the projects. The PNF asked for additional updates to the Plan, which was discussed in a breakout meeting after the formal conclusion of the consultation meeting. The updated *Exhibit C - Roads Plan Agreement* will be reviewed by PG&E legal prior to submittal to the PNF for review. The current road on Fields Meadow will potentially be added to the Roads Management Plan, but it would need to be included in the PNF's System and Roads Plan. More recently, PG&E's legal review of *Exhibit C - Roads Plan Agreement* has been completed, and the document is being revised to address the review comments. The updated Plan will be available for PNF review by mid May 2016.

Condition No. 28 – Heritage Resources Management Plan: The PNF required that PG&E develop a Heritage Resources Management Plan, termed a Historic Properties Management Plan (HPMP) by FERC. The HPMP was implemented in 2010 with the license issuance. The HPMP requires



monitoring every five years to conduct condition assessments at archaeological sites located within the Project Area of Potential Effects (APE). The first year of monitoring occurred in 2010. The next round of monitoring was scheduled for 2015 but was put on hold as PG&E performed NRHP evaluations at three sites, discussed above, in the Phase II treatment area, and at a fourth site located in the Phase I fuels treatment area. The monitoring will be conducted in 2016 at the unevaluated and eligible sites, and the HPMP will be updated in 2020. As noted, one site in the Phase II area and the one located in the Phase I area, were both deemed eligible for listing on the NRHP. The State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) concurred with this determination in a letter dated September 9, 2015. The other two archaeological sites were evaluated as ineligible for listing on the NRHP, for which the SHPO concurred in a letter dated March 28, 2016. These two sites will no longer be managed under the HPMP as a result. PG&E will work with the PNF to determine the exact size of the two eligible sites. Additionally, as noted above, two newly discovered historic mining archaeological sites were exposed by, and identified during, the Phase III fuels treatment. PG&E provided PNF with the draft archaeological site records and location maps for PNF to determine whether the two sites have been previously recorded. PNF responded that they have no record of these two sites having been previously documented. The site records have been submitted to the appropriate Information Center for assignment of state numbers. A summary report of work done on all fuel treatment phases will be submitted to the PNF at the end of 2016, as part of the overall Fuels Treatment Report.

Condition No. 29 – Ground-disturbing Activities: There are no planned ground-disturbing activities at this time, beyond fuels treatment, which has already been discussed above and is covered under the Fuels Treatment Management Plan.

#### Other Topics

The District Ranger, Randy Gould, dropped by to introduce himself, but could not attend the meeting due to a scheduling conflict.

At this time, the PNF is not planning any work on the FERC Project transmission lines during 2016. There may be a bug kill tree removal project in 2017, but not until completing the NEPA requirements.

If you have any questions or concerns regarding this meeting summary, please contact me at (530) 621-4100.

Secretary Kimberly D. Bose

April 25, 2016

Page 10

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Mark Stewart".

Mark Stewart

PG&E FERC Electric License Coordinator

Attachment: (1) List of Meeting Attendees  
(2) Meeting Agenda

cc: via email, w/attachment

Mary Sullivan  
Plumas National Forest

Amy Lind  
Plumas National Forest and Tahoe National Forest

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
AND  
PLUMAS NATIONAL FOREST**

**WOODLEAF-KANAKA JUNCTION TRANSMISSION LINE PROJECT  
FERC PROJECT NO. 2281-011  
AND  
SLY CREEK TRANSMISSION LINE PROJECT  
FERC PROJECT NO. 4851-005**

**ANNUAL CONSULTATION MEETING  
February 25, 2016**

**ATTENDEES**

|    | NAME             | COMPANY     | POSITION   | E-MAIL ADDRESS          |
|----|------------------|-------------|--|-------------------------|
| 1  | Mary Sullivan    | PNF- FRRD   | Public Services Staff                                  | mcsullivan@fs.fed.us    |
| 2  | Lawrence Janeway | PNF-FRRD    | Botanist   | ljaneway@fs.fed.us      |
| 3  | Joanna Arroyo    | PNF-FRRD    | Biologist  | jarroyo@fs.fed.us       |
| 4  | Jamie Moore      | PNF-FRRD    | Archaeologist  | jjmoore@fs.fed.us       |
| 5  | Dani Swantic     | PNF-FRRD    | PG&E Coordinator for Plumas                            | dswantic@fs.fed.us      |
| 6  | Jason Vermillion | PNF-FRRD    | FRRD Fuels   | jvermillion@fs.fed.us   |
| 7  | Herman Wendell   | PNF-FRRD    | District Road Maintenance Manager                      | hwendell@fs.fed.us      |
| 8  | Mark Stewart     | PG&E        | FERC Electric License Coordinator                      | M9S5@pge.com            |
| 9  | Amy Lind         | PNF and TNF | FERC Coordinator                                       | alind@fs.fed.us         |
| 10 | Fred Holzmer     | HDR         | Consultant Project Manager                             | fred.holzmer@hdrinc.com |
| 11 | Robin Kent       | HDR         | Senior Botanist & Consultant Assistant Project Manager | robin.kent@hdrinc.com   |
| 12 | Sandy Flint      | HDR         | Senior Cultural Resource Specialist                    | sandy.flint@hdrinc.com  |
| 13 | Bob Burnham      | ERT         | Senior Fuels Management Specialist                     | RJB@ertutility.com      |
| 14 | Mike Santuccio   | ERT         | Fuels Management Specialist                            | MS@ertutility.com       |

ERT – Environmental Restoration Technology, Inc.

PNF – Plumas National Forest

FRRD – Feather River Ranger District

TNF – Tahoe National Forest

# Annual Consultation Meeting: 2016 Agenda

|                         |   |
|-------------------------|---|
| <b>9:00 AM</b>          | <b>Purpose of the Meeting – Comply with Forest Service 4(e) Condition No. 3 Consultation and Coordination</b>   |
| <b>9:05 – 9:10 AM</b>   | <b>Introductions</b>  |
| <b>9:10 – 10:10 AM</b>  | <b>Operations &amp; Maintenance Activities (Mark Stewart, PG&amp;E)</b>   |
|                         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Routine and Non-Routine Maintenance</b></li> <li>• <b>Foreseeable changes to project facilities or features</b></li> <li>• <b>Elements of current year maintenance</b></li> <li>• <b>Planned pesticide use (status of Invasive Species and Fuels Treatment Plans update and process)</b></li> <li>• <b>Other</b></li> </ul>   |
| <b>10:10-11:15 AM</b>   | <b>Report on License Compliance</b>   |
|                         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>General License Measures (Fred Holzmer and Robin Kent, HDR)</b> – a brief review of all the license measures and compliance for each.</li> <li>• <b>Fuel Treatment (Bob Burnham, ERT)</b> – working on Phase II fuel treatment planning.</li> <li>• <b>Special Status Plants (Robin Kent, HDR)</b> – continued monitoring of Mosquin’s clarkia occurrences.</li> <li>• <b>Noxious Weeds (Robin Kent, HDR)</b> – monitoring and management of broom and skeletonweed occurrences.</li> <li>• <b>Cultural Resources (Sandy Flint, HDR)</b> – cultural work in support of fuels treatment.</li> <li>• <b>Roads Management (Fred Holzmer, HDR)</b> – update to Roads Management Plan (possible smaller group discussion)</li> </ul> |
| <b>11:15 – 11:30 AM</b> | <b>Other Issues or Concerns</b>   |
|                         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Other Items</b></li> <li>• <b>Summarize Action Items</b></li> </ul>   |